arXiv:1612.03579v1 [math.CO] 12 Dec 2016

Enumerating Cayley (di-)graphs on dihedral groups*

Xueyi Huang, Qiongxiang Huang†

College of Mathematics and Systems Science, Xinjiang University, Urumqi, Xinjiang 830046, P. R. China

Abstract Let p be an odd prime, and $D_{2p} = \langle \tau, \sigma \mid \tau^p = \sigma^2 = e, \sigma\tau\sigma = \tau^{-1} \rangle$ the dihedral group of order $2p$. In this paper, we provide the number of (connected) Cayley (di-)graphs on D_{2p} up to isomorphism by using the Pólya enumeration theorem. In the process, we also enumerate (connected) Cayley digraphs on D_{2p} of out-degree k up to isomorphism for each k.

Keywords: Cayley (di-)graph; Dihedral group; Cayley isomorphism; Pólya enumeration theorem.

AMS Classification: 05C25.

1 Introduction

Let G be a finite group, and let S be a subset of G such that $1 \notin S$. The *Cayley digraph* on G with respect to S, denoted by $\mathrm{Cay}(G, S)$, is the digraph with vertex set G and with an arc from g to h if $hg^{-1} \in S$. If S is symmetric, i.e., $S^{-1} = \{s^{-1} \mid s \in S\} = S$, then $hg^{-1} \in S$ if and only if $gh^{-1} \in S$, and so $\mathrm{Cay}(G, S)$ can be viewed as an undirected graph, which is called the *Cayley graph* on G with respect to S. In particular, if G is a cyclic group, then the Cayley (di-)graph $\mathrm{Cay}(G, S)$ is called a *circulant (di-)graph*.

Let $\mathrm{Cay}(G, S)$ be the Cayley digraph on G with respect to S. Suppose that $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(G)$, where $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ is the *automorphism group* of G. Let $T = \alpha(S)$. Then it is easily shown that α induces an isomorphism from $\mathrm{Cay}(G, S)$ to $\mathrm{Cay}(G, T)$. Such an isomorphism is called a *Cayley isomorphism*. However, it is possible for two Cayley digraphs $\mathrm{Cay}(G, S)$ and $\mathrm{Cay}(G, T)$ to be isomorphic but no Cayley isomorphisms mapping S to T. The Cayley digraph (resp. Cayley graph) $\mathrm{Cay}(G, S)$ is called a *DCI-graph* (resp. *CI-graph*) of G if, for any Cayley digraph (resp. Cayley graph) $\mathrm{Cay}(G, T)$, whenever $\mathrm{Cay}(G, S) \cong \mathrm{Cay}(G, T)$ we have $\alpha(S) = T$ for some $\alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}(G)$. A group G is called a *DCI-group* (resp. *CI-group*) if all Cayley digraphs (Cayley graphs) on G are DCI-graphs (CI-graphs). Clearly, a DCI-group is always a CI-group, but the converse is not always right. The investigation of CI-graphs (DCI-graphs) or CI-groups (DCI-groups) stems from a conjecture proposed

*Supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 11671344 and 11531011).

†Corresponding author.

E-mail: huangxymath@gmail.com (X. Huang), huangqx@xju.edu.cn (Q. Huang).

by Ádám [1]: all circulant graphs are CI-graphs of the corresponding cyclic groups. This conjecture was disproved by Elspas and Turner [9], and however, the conjecture caused a lot of activity on the characterization of CI-graphs (DCI-graphs) or CI-groups (DCI-groups) [3–8,11–15,17,20–22,24]. Another motivation for investigating CI-graphs (DCI-graphs) or CI-groups (DCI-groups) is to determine and enumerate the isomorphic classes of Cayley graphs for a given group. By the definition, if $\mathrm{Cay}(G,S)$ is a CI-graph (DCI-graph), then to decide whether or not $\mathrm{Cay}(G,S)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Cay}(G,T)$, we only need to decide whether or not there exists an automorphism $\alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}(G)$ such that $\alpha(S) = T$. For this reason, Mishna [19] (see also [2]) applied the Pólya enumeration theorem to count the isomorphic classes of Cayley graphs (Cayley digraphs) on some CI-groups (DCI-groups). Also, the isomorphic classes of some families of Cayley graphs which are edge-transitive but not arc-transitive were determined in [18,25,26]. For more results about CI-problem (DCI-problem) and determination for isomorphic classes of Cayley graphs, we refer the reader to the review paper [16] and references therein.

Let p be an odd prime, and $D_{2p} = \langle \tau, \sigma \mid \tau^p = \sigma^2 = e, \sigma\tau\sigma = \tau^{-1} \rangle$ the dihedral group of order $2p$. In [3], Babai showed that D_{2p} is a DCI-group. This remind us the Pólya enumeration theorem could be used to enumerate the isomorphic classes of Cayley (di-)graphs of D_{2p}. In this paper, inspired by Mishna's work [19], we obtain the number of (connected) Cayley (di-)graphs on D_{2p} up to isomorphism. In the process, we also enumerate (connected) Cayley digraphs on D_{2p} of out-degree k up to isomorphism for each k.

2 Main tools

Let G be a finite group and X a finite set. A (*left*) *action* of G on X, denoted by (G, X), is a map from $G \times X$ to X, with the image of (g, x) being denoted by gx, which satisfies the following two conditions:

(1) $ex = x$ for all $x \in X$, where e is the identity of G;

(2) $(gh)x = g(hx)$ for all $g, h \in G$ and all $x \in X$.

If there is an action of G on X, then we say that G *acts* on X or that X is a *G-set*. The action (G, X) is called *faithful* if the only element $g \in G$ satisfying $gx = x$ for every $x \in X$ is the identity element. Let X be a G-set. For each $x \in X$, we define the *orbit* of x to be the subset $Gx = \{gx \mid g \in G\}$ of X, and we define the *stabilizer* of x to be the subset $G_x = \{g \in G \mid gx = x\}$ of G. It is easy to see that all the orbits form a partition of X and that G_x is a subgroup of G.

Let G be a permutation group on X ($|X| = n$). Then G acts on X naturally by defining $gx = g(x)$. For $g \in G$, we denote by $b_k(g)$ the number of cycles of length k in the disjoint cycle decomposition of g, where $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Then the *cycle type* of $g \in G$ is defined as $\mathrm{type}(g) = (b_1(g), b_2(g), \ldots, b_n(g))$. Clearly, $b_1(g) + 2b_2(g) + \cdots + nb_n(g) = n$. The *cycle index* $\mathcal{I}(G, X)$ of the permutation group G acting on X is defined to be the polynomial

$$\mathcal{I}(G, X) = P_G(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} x_1^{b_1(g)} x_2^{b_2(g)} \cdots x_n^{b_n(g)}, \tag{1}$$

where $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$ are indeterminates.

Let A and C be finite sets. Denote by

$$C^A = \{f \mid f : A \to C\} \tag{2}$$

the set of all maps from A to C. Let G be a permutation group acting on A. Then we obtain a group action (G, C^A) naturally by setting:

$$gf = f \circ g^{-1} \text{ for every } g \in G \text{ and } f \in C^A, \tag{3}$$

where $f \circ g^{-1}$ denotes the composite of the maps f and g^{-1}. Under the group action (G, C^A), two maps in C^A are said to be *G-equivalent* if they belong to the same orbit. The Pólya Enumeration Theorem provides the number of orbits of the group action (G, C^A).

Lemma 2.1. *(Pólya Enumeration Theorem, see [10], Chapter 2.) Let A and C be finite sets with $|A| = n$ and $|C| = m$. Let G be a permutation group acting on A. Denote by $\mathcal{F}$ the set of all orbits of the group action (G, C^A). Then*

$$|\mathcal{F}| = P_G(m, m, \ldots, m), \tag{4}$$

where $P_G(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ is the cycle index of (G, A) defined in (1).

Let G be a permutation group acting on A. Two k-subsets S and T of A are said to be *G-equivalent* if there exists some $g \in G$ such that $g(S) = T$. The following result enumerates the G-equivalent k-subsets of A.

Lemma 2.2. *(See [10], Chapter 2.) Let A be a finite set with $|A| = n$, and let G be a permutation group acting on A. Then the number of G-equivalent classes of k-subsets of A is equal to the coefficient of x^k in the polynomial $P_G(1 + x, 1 + x^2, \ldots, 1 + x^n)$, where $P_G(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ is the cycle index of (G, A) defined in (1).*

Recall that a group G is a DCI-group if all Cayley digraphs on G are DCI-graphs. The following result due to Babai [3] shows that the dihedral group D_{2p} (p prime) is a DCI-group.

Lemma 2.3. *(See [3].) The two Cayley digraphs $\mathrm{Cay}(D_{2p}, S)$ and $\mathrm{Cay}(D_{2p}, T)$ on D_{2p} (p prime) are isomorphic if and only if there exists some $\alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}(D_{2p})$ such that $\alpha(S) = T$.*

In [23], Rotmaler determined the automorphism group of the dihedral group D_{2n}.

Lemma 2.4. *(See [23].) Suppose $n > 2$ is an integer and $D_{2n} = \langle \tau, \sigma \mid \tau^n = \sigma^2 = e, \sigma\tau\sigma = \tau^{-1} \rangle = \{\tau^i, \tau^j\sigma \mid i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_n\}$ is the dihedral group of order $2n$. Then $\mathrm{Aut}(D_{2n}) = \{\alpha_{s,t} \mid s \in \mathbb{Z}_n^\times, t \in \mathbb{Z}_n\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_n^\times \ltimes \mathbb{Z}_n$, where $\alpha_{s,t}(\tau^i) = \tau^{si}$ and $\alpha_{s,t}(\tau^j\sigma) = \tau^{sj+t}\sigma$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_n$.*

3 Enumerating Cayley digraphs on D_{2p}

Let $D_{2p} = \langle \tau, \sigma \mid \tau^p = \sigma^2 = e, \sigma\tau\sigma = \tau^{-1} \rangle = \{\tau^i, \tau^j\sigma \mid i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_p\}$ be the dihedral group of order $2p$ (p is an odd prime). Take $A = D_{2p} \backslash \{e\} = \{\tau^i, \tau^j\sigma \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_p \backslash \{0\}, j \in \mathbb{Z}_p\}$ and $C = \{0, 1\}$. Then $\mathrm{Aut}(D_{2p})$ is a permutation group acting on A and C^A. For $S \subseteq A$, let f_S denote the characteristic function of S, that is, $f_S(a) = 1$ if $a \in S$, and $f_S(a) = 0$ if $a \in A \setminus S$. Clearly, $f_S \in C^A$ and C^A consists of all characteristic functions on A. By Lemma 2.3, we know that two Cayley digraphs $\mathrm{Cay}(D_{2p}, S)$ and $\mathrm{Cay}(D_{2p}, T)$ on D_{2p} are isomorphic if and only if there exists some $\alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}(D_{2p})$ such that $\alpha(S) = T$, which is the case if and only if $f_S, f_T \in C^A$ are $\mathrm{Aut}(D_{2p})$-equivalent. Thus the number of Cayley digraphs on D_{2p} up to isomorphism is equal to the number of orbits of the group action $(\mathrm{Aut}(D_{2p}), C^A)$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.1, in order to enumerate Cayley digraphs on D_{2p}, we first need to compute the cycle index of the permutation group $\mathrm{Aut}(D_{2p})$ acting A.

By Lemma 2.4, we have $\mathrm{Aut}(D_{2p}) = \{\alpha_{s,t} \mid s \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times, t \in \mathbb{Z}_p\}$, where $\alpha_{s,t}(\tau^i) = \tau^{si}$ and $\alpha_{s,t}(\tau^j\sigma) = \tau^{sj+t}\sigma$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Putting $A_1 = \langle\tau\rangle \setminus \{e\} = \{\tau^i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\}\}$ and $A_2 = \langle\tau\rangle\sigma = \{\tau^j\sigma \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_p\}$. Then $A = A_1 \cup A_2$, and furthermore, we observe that $\alpha_{s,t}(A_1) = A_1$ and $\alpha_{s,t}(A_2) = A_2$ for each $\alpha_{s,t} \in \mathrm{Aut}(D_{2p})$.

Also, since p is an odd prime, we know that $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times = \{z \in \mathbb{Z}_p \mid \gcd(z, p) = 1\} = \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\}$ is a cyclic group of order $p - 1$ with the multiplication of integers module p. Thus we can assume that $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times = \langle z \rangle$ for some integer $z \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times = \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\}$. Then for any $s \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$, there exists some $i_s \in \mathbb{Z}_{p-1}$ such that $s = z^{i_s}$. For example, $i_s = 0$ when $s = 1$ and $i_s = \frac{p-1}{2}$ when $s = -1$. Furthermore, if s ranges over all elements of $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ then i_s ranges over all elements of $\mathbb{Z}_{p-1}$. The following lemma is crucial to the calculation of cycle index.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $A = D_{2p} \setminus \{e\}$ and $\alpha_{s,t} \in \mathrm{Aut}(D_{2p})$ be defined as above. Let z be a generating element of the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$. Under the action of $\mathrm{Aut}(D_{2p})$ on A, the cycle type of $\alpha_{s,t}$ is given by type$(\alpha_{s,t}) = (b_1(\alpha_{s,t}), b_2(\alpha_{s,t}), \ldots, b_{2p-1}(\alpha_{s,t}))$, where*

$$b_k(\alpha_{1,t}) = \begin{cases} 2p-1 & \text{if } k = 1 \text{ and } t = 0, \\ p-1 & \text{if } k = 1 \text{ and } t \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\}, \\ 1 & \text{if } k = p \text{ and } t \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

and for each $1 \neq s = z^{i_s} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ (i.e., $i_s \neq 0$) and $t \in \mathbb{Z}_p$,

$$b_k(\alpha_{s,t}) = b_k(\alpha_{s,0}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = 1, \\ 2 \cdot \gcd(i_s, p-1) & \text{if } k = \dfrac{p-1}{\gcd(i_s, p-1)}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

Proof. Let A_1 and A_2 be defined as above. Since $\alpha_{s,t}(A_1) = A_1$ and $\alpha_{s,t}(A_2) = A_2$ for each $\alpha_{s,t} \in \mathrm{Aut}(D_{2p})$, we must have $b_{p+1}(\alpha_{s,t}) = \cdots = b_{2p-1}(\alpha_{s,t}) = 0$. For $\alpha_{s,t} \in \mathrm{Aut}(D_{2p})$, we consider the following two situations.

Case 1. $s = 1$;

Note that $\alpha_{1,t}(\tau^i) = \tau^i$ for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\}$, so the permutation $\alpha_{1,t}$ splits A_1 into $p-1$ cycles each of length 1. Also note that $\alpha_{1,t}(\tau^j\sigma) = \tau^{j+t}\sigma$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. If $t = 0$, then $\alpha_{1,0}(\tau^j\sigma) = \tau^j\sigma$ for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, and so $\alpha_{1,t}$ splits A_2 into p cycles of length 1. If $t \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\}$, the order of t in $\mathbb{Z}_p$ is equal to $o(t) = \frac{p}{\gcd(t,p)} = p$. Then, for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, $\tau^j\sigma \in A_2$ is in the cycle $(\tau^j\sigma, \alpha_{1,t}(\tau^j\sigma), \alpha_{1,t}^2(\tau^j\sigma), \ldots, \alpha_{1,t}^{o(t)-1}(\tau^j\sigma)) = (\tau^j\sigma, \tau^{j+t}\sigma, \tau^{j+2t}\sigma, \ldots, \tau^{j+(p-1)t}\sigma)$. Thus the permutation $\alpha_{1,t}$ splits A_2 into exactly one cycle, which is of length p. Therefore, we have obtain the cycle type of $\alpha_{1,t}$, as shown in (5).

Case 2. $s \neq 1$, say $s = z^{i_s}$ $(i_s \neq 0)$.

Note that $\alpha_{s,t}(\tau^i) = \tau^{si}$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\}$ and $\alpha_{s,t}(\tau^j\sigma) = \tau^{sj+t}\sigma$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Firstly, we shall prove that $\alpha_{s,t}$ has the same cycle type as $\alpha_{s,0}$ for each $t \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. As $\alpha_{s,t}(\tau^i) = \tau^{si} = \alpha_{s,0}(\tau^i)$, $\alpha_{s,t}$ and $\alpha_{s,0}$ have the same cycle type in A_1. Now consider $\alpha_{s,t}$ and $\alpha_{s,0}$ acting on A_2. As $s \neq 1$, $(1-s)$ is invertible in $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$, we define a bijection in A_2, that is, $\beta : \tau^j\sigma \mapsto \tau^{j+(1-s)^{-1}t}\sigma$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Assume that $(\tau^{a_1}\sigma, \tau^{a_2}\sigma, \ldots, \tau^{a_r}\sigma)$ is a cycle of $\alpha_{s,0}$, i.e., $a_\ell = sa_{\ell-1}$ for $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_r$. Then we have $\beta(\tau^{a_\ell}\sigma) = \tau^{a_\ell+(1-s)^{-1}t}\sigma$, and $\alpha_{s,t}(\beta(\tau^{a_{\ell-1}}\sigma)) = \alpha_{s,t}(\tau^{a_{\ell-1}+(1-s)^{-1}t}\sigma) = \tau^{s(a_{\ell-1}+(1-s)^{-1}t)+t}\sigma = \tau^{a_\ell+s(1-s)^{-1}t+t}\sigma = \tau^{a_\ell+(s-1)(1-s)^{-1}t+(1-s)^{-1}t+t}\sigma = \tau^{a_\ell+(1-s)^{-1}t}\sigma = \beta(\tau^{a_\ell}\sigma)$ for each $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_r$. Thus $(\beta(\tau^{a_1}\sigma), \beta(\tau^{a_2}\sigma), \ldots, \beta(\tau^{a_r}\sigma))$ is a cycle of $\alpha_{s,t}$. Therefore, $\alpha_{s,t}$ and $\alpha_{s,0}$ also have the same cycle type in A_2 because β is a bijection. Hence, we just need to consider the cycle type of $\alpha_{s,0}$ in $A = A_1 \cup A_2$. Since the order of s in $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ is equal to $o(s) = o(z^{i_s}) = \frac{p-1}{\gcd(i_s,p-1)}$, for any $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\}$, $\tau^i \in A_1$ is in the cycle $(\tau^i, \alpha_{s,0}(\tau^i), \alpha_{s,0}^2(\tau^i), \ldots, \alpha_{s,0}^{o(s)-1}(\tau^i)) = (\tau^i, \tau^{si}, \tau^{s^2i}, \ldots, \tau^{s^{o(s)-1}i})$ and $\tau^j\sigma \in A_2$ is in the cycle $(\tau^j\sigma, \alpha_{s,0}(\tau^j\sigma), \alpha_{s,0}^2(\tau^j\sigma), \ldots, \alpha_{s,0}^{o(s)-1}(\tau^j\sigma)) = (\tau^j\sigma, \tau^{sj}\sigma, \tau^{s^2j}\sigma, \ldots, \tau^{s^{o(s)-1}j}\sigma)$. Also note that $\alpha_{s,0}(\tau^0\sigma) = \tau^0\sigma$, so $\tau^0\sigma \in A_2$ is in the cycle $(\tau^0\sigma)$. Thus the permutation $\alpha_{s,0}$ splits A_1 into $\frac{p-1}{o(s)} = \gcd(i_s, p-1)$ cycles each of length $o(s) = \frac{p-1}{\gcd(i_s,p-1)}$, and splits A_2 into $\frac{p-1}{o(s)} = \gcd(i_s, p-1)$ cycles each of length $o(s) = \frac{p-1}{\gcd(i_s,p-1)}$ and one cycle of length 1. Then we have obtained the cycle type of $\alpha_{s,t}$, as shown in (6).

We complete the proof. □

Let n be a positive integer. The *Euler's totient function* $\Phi(n)$ is the number of integers k in the range $1 \leq k \leq n$ for which the greatest common divisor $\gcd(n,k)$ is equal to 1. Let $n = p_1^{k_1} \cdots p_s^{k_s}$ be the prime factorization of n. Then the *Euler's product formula* states that $\Phi(n) = n\prod_{i=1}^r(1 - \frac{1}{p_i})$. According to Lemma 3.1, we now give the cycle index of $\mathrm{Aut}(D_{2p})$ acting on $A = D_{2p} \setminus \{e\}$.

Lemma 3.2. *The cycle index of* $\mathrm{Aut}(D_{2p})$ *acting on* $A = D_{2p} \setminus \{e\}$ *is given by*

$$\mathcal{I}(\mathrm{Aut}(D_{2p}), A) = \frac{1}{p}x_1^{p-1}(x_p - x_1^p) + \frac{1}{p-1}x_1 \cdot \sum_{d|(p-1)} \Phi(d)x_d^{\frac{2(p-1)}{d}}, \tag{7}$$

where $\Phi(\cdot)$ *denotes the Euler's totient function.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, the cycle index of $\mathrm{Aut}(D_{2p})$ acting on $A = D_{2p} \setminus \{e\}$ is

$$\mathcal{I}(\mathrm{Aut}(D_{2p}), A)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{|\mathrm{Aut}(D_{2p})|}\sum_{\alpha_{s,t}\in\mathrm{Aut}(D_{2p})} x_1^{b_1(\alpha_{s,t})}x_2^{b_2(\alpha_{s,t})}\cdots x_{2p-1}^{b_{2p-1}(\alpha_{s,t})}\\
&= \frac{1}{p(p-1)}\sum_{s\in\mathbb{Z}_p^\times}\sum_{t\in\mathbb{Z}_p} x_1^{b_1(\alpha_{s,t})}x_2^{b_2(\alpha_{s,t})}\cdots x_{2p-1}^{b_{2p-1}(\alpha_{s,t})}\\
&= \frac{1}{p(p-1)}\left[\sum_{t\in\mathbb{Z}_p} x_1^{b_1(\alpha_{1,t})}x_2^{b_2(\alpha_{1,t})}\cdots x_{2p-1}^{b_{2p-1}(\alpha_{1,t})} + \sum_{s\in\mathbb{Z}_p^\times\setminus\{1\}}\sum_{t\in\mathbb{Z}_p} x_1^{b_1(\alpha_{s,t})}x_2^{b_2(\alpha_{s,t})}\cdots x_{2p-1}^{b_{2p-1}(\alpha_{s,t})}\right]\\
&= \frac{1}{p(p-1)}\left[\sum_{t\in\mathbb{Z}_p} x_1^{b_1(\alpha_{1,t})}x_2^{b_2(\alpha_{1,t})}\cdots x_{2p-1}^{b_{2p-1}(\alpha_{1,t})} + p\cdot\sum_{s\in\mathbb{Z}_p^\times\setminus\{1\}} x_1^{b_1(\alpha_{s,0})}x_2^{b_2(\alpha_{s,0})}\cdots x_{2p-1}^{b_{2p-1}(\alpha_{s,0})}\right]\\
&= \frac{1}{p(p-1)}\left[x_1^{2p-1} + (p-1)x_1^{p-1}x_p + px_1\cdot\sum_{s=z^{i_s}\in\mathbb{Z}_p^\times\setminus\{1\}} x^{\frac{2\cdot\gcd(i_s,p-1)}{p-1}}_{\frac{p-1}{\gcd(i_s,p-1)}}\right]\\
&= \frac{1}{p(p-1)}\left[x_1^{2p-1} + (p-1)x_1^{p-1}x_p + px_1\cdot\sum_{i_s\in\mathbb{Z}_{p-1}\setminus\{0\}} x^{\frac{2\cdot\gcd(i_s,p-1)}{p-1}}_{\frac{p-1}{\gcd(i_s,p-1)}}\right]\\
&= \frac{1}{p(p-1)}\left[x_1^{2p-1} + (p-1)x_1^{p-1}x_p + px_1\cdot\sum_{\substack{d|(p-1)\\ d\neq 1}}\Phi(d)x_d^{\frac{2(p-1)}{d}}\right]\\
&= \frac{1}{p(p-1)}\left[x_1^{2p-1} + (p-1)x_1^{p-1}x_p + px_1\cdot\sum_{d|(p-1)}\Phi(d)x_d^{\frac{2(p-1)}{d}} - px_1^{2p-1}\right]\\
&= \frac{1}{p}x_1^{p-1}(x_p - x_1^p) + \frac{1}{p-1}x_1\cdot\sum_{d|(p-1)}\Phi(d)x_d^{\frac{2(p-1)}{d}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Phi(\cdot)$ denotes the Euler's totient function. □

According to Lemmas 3.2, 2.1 and the arguments at the begining of the section, we obtain the number of Cayley digraphs on D_{2p} up to isomorphism immediately.

Theorem 3.1. *Let p be an odd prime. The number of Cayley digraphs on D_{2p} up to isomorphism is equal to*

$$\mathcal{N} = \frac{1}{p}2^p(1-2^{p-1}) + \frac{2}{p-1}\cdot\sum_{d|(p-1)}\Phi(d)2^{\frac{2(p-1)}{d}}, \tag{8}$$

where $\Phi(\cdot)$ is the Euler's totient function.

In [19], Mishna enumerated the circulant digraphs of order p up to isomorphism.

Lemma 3.3. *(See [19].) Let p be an odd prime. The number of circulant digraphs of order p up to isomorphism is given by*

$$\mathcal{N}_c = \frac{1}{p-1}\sum_{d|(p-1)}\Phi(d)2^{\frac{p-1}{d}}, \tag{9}$$

where $\Phi(\cdot)$ is the Euler's totient function.

It is well known that a Cayley digraph $\mathrm{Cay}(G,S)$ is connected if and only if $\langle S\rangle = G$. Thus, for $S \subseteq A = D_{2p} \setminus \{e\}$, the Cayley digraph $\mathrm{Cay}(D_{2p},S)$ is disconnected if and only if $S \subseteq A_1 = \langle\tau\rangle \setminus \{e\} = \{\tau^i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\}\}$ or $S = \{\tau^j\sigma\} \subseteq A_2 = \langle\tau\rangle\sigma$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ because p is a prime. Also note that $\mathrm{Cay}(D_{2p},\{\tau^j\sigma\}) \cong \mathrm{Cay}(D_{2p},\{\sigma\})$ for each j since $\alpha_{0,j}(\sigma) = \tau^j\sigma$. Hence, from Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 3.3 we have the following result immediately.

Theorem 3.2. *Let p be an odd prime. The number of connected Cayley digraphs on D_{2p} up to isomorphism is equal to*

$$\mathcal{N}_1 = \mathcal{N} - \mathcal{N}_c - 1, \tag{10}$$

where $\mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{N}_c$ are presented in (8) and (9), respictively.

Theorem 3.3. *Let p be an odd prime and let $\mathcal{M}_k$ denote the number of Cayley digraphs on D_{2p} of out-degree k up to isomorphism. Then*

$$\mathcal{M}_k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = 0 \text{ or } 2p-1, \\ \dfrac{1}{p}\left[\binom{p-1}{k} - \binom{2p-1}{k}\right] + \mathcal{M}_k^1 & \text{if } 1 \le k \le p-1, \\ \dfrac{1}{p}\left[\binom{p-1}{k-p} - \binom{2p-1}{k}\right] + \mathcal{M}_k^1 & \text{if } p \le k \le 2p-2, \end{cases} \tag{11}$$

where

$$\mathcal{M}_k^1 = \frac{1}{p-1}\Bigg[\sum_{d|\gcd(p-1,k)} \Phi(d)\binom{\frac{2(p-1)}{d}}{\frac{k}{d}} + \sum_{d|\gcd(p-1,k-1)} \Phi(d)\binom{\frac{2(p-1)}{d}}{\frac{k-1}{d}}\Bigg]$$

and $\Phi(\cdot)$ is the Euler's totient function.

Proof. Clearly, if $k = 0$ (resp. $k = 2p-1$), then $\mathrm{Cay}(D_{2p},\emptyset)$ (resp. $\mathrm{Cay}(D_{2p}, D_{2p} \setminus \{e\})$) is the unique Cayley digraph on D_{2p} with out-degree k. It suffices to consider $1 \le k \le 2p-2$. By Lemma 3.1, the cycle index of $\mathrm{Aut}(D_{2p})$ acting on $A = D_{2p} \setminus \{0\}$ is

$$\mathcal{I}(\mathrm{Aut}(D_{2p}), A) = \frac{1}{p}x_1^{p-1}(x_p - x_1^p) + \frac{1}{p-1}x_1 \sum_{d|(p-1)} \Phi(d) x_d^{\frac{2(p-1)}{d}}.$$

Putting $x_i = 1 + x^i$ in the above equation, we obtain the polynomial

$$\begin{aligned} Q(x) &= \frac{1}{p}((1+x)^{p-1}(1+x^p) - (1+x)^{2p-1})) + \frac{1}{p-1}(1+x)\sum_{d|(p-1)} \Phi(d)(1+x^d)^{\frac{2(p-1)}{d}} \\ &= \frac{1}{p}\Bigg[\sum_{i=0}^{p-1}\binom{p-1}{i}(x^i + x^{i+p}) - \sum_{i=0}^{2p-1}\binom{2p-1}{i}x^i\Bigg] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{p-1}\sum_{d|(p-1)} \Phi(d) \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{2(p-1)}{d}} \binom{\frac{2(p-1)}{d}}{i}(x^{di} + x^{di+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the two Cayley digraphs $\mathrm{Cay}(D_{2p}, S)$ and $\mathrm{Cay}(D_{2p}, T)$ are isomorphic if and only if S and T are $\mathrm{Aut}(D_{2p})$-equivalent by Lemma 2.3. Thus the number of Cayley digraphs on D_{2p} of out-degree k up to isomorphism is equal to the number of $\mathrm{Aut}(D_{2p})$-equivalent k-subsets of A, which is also the coefficient of x^k in the polynomial $Q(x)$ by Lemma 2.2. If $1 \leq k \leq p-1$, the coefficient of x^k in the polynomial $Q(x)$ is given by

$$\frac{1}{p}\left[\binom{p-1}{k}-\binom{2p-1}{k}\right]+\frac{1}{p-1}\left[\sum_{d|\gcd(p-1,k)}\Phi(d)\binom{\frac{2(p-1)}{d}}{\frac{k}{d}}+\sum_{d|\gcd(p-1,k-1)}\Phi(d)\binom{\frac{2(p-1)}{d}}{\frac{k-1}{d}}\right].$$

Similarly, if $p \leq k \leq 2p-2$, the coefficient of x^k in the polynomial $Q(x)$ is equal to

$$\frac{1}{p}\left[\binom{p-1}{k-p}-\binom{2p-1}{k}\right]+\frac{1}{p-1}\left[\sum_{d|\gcd(p-1,k)}\Phi(d)\binom{\frac{2(p-1)}{d}}{\frac{k}{d}}+\sum_{d|\gcd(p-1,k-1)}\Phi(d)\binom{\frac{2(p-1)}{d}}{\frac{k-1}{d}}\right].$$

We obtain the result as required. □

Lemma 3.4. *(See [19].) Let p be an odd prime. The number of circulant digraphs of order p with out-degree k up to isomorphism is given by*

$$\mathcal{M}_{c,k} = \frac{1}{p-1}\sum_{d|\gcd(p-1,k)}\Phi(d)\binom{\frac{p-1}{d}}{\frac{k}{d}}, \tag{12}$$

where $\Phi(\cdot)$ is the Euler's totient function.

Let $\mathrm{Cay}(D_{2p}, S)$ be a Cayley graph on D_{2p} with $|S| = k$. If $k = 0$ or 1, then $\mathrm{Cay}(D_{2p}, S)$ is obviously disconnected. Observe that for $2 \leq k \leq p-1$, $\mathrm{Cay}(D_{2p}, S)$ is disconnected if and only if $S \subseteq A_1 = \langle\tau\rangle \setminus \{e\} = \{\tau^i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\}\}$, and for $p \leq k \leq 2p-1$, $\mathrm{Cay}(D_{2p}, S)$ must be connected. By Theorem 3.3 and Lemma 3.4, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 3.4. *Let p be an odd prime. The number of connected Cayley digraphs on D_{2p} of out-degree k up to isomorphism is*

$$\mathcal{M}'_k = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k = 0, 1, \\ \mathcal{M}_k - \mathcal{M}_{c,k} & \text{if } 2 \leq k \leq p-1, \\ \mathcal{M}_k & \text{if } p \leq k \leq 2p-1, \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

where $\mathcal{M}_k$ and $\mathcal{M}_{c,k}$ are presented in (11) and (12), respictively.

Example 1. Take $p = 3$ and consider the dihedral group $D_6 = \langle\tau, \sigma \mid \tau^3 = \sigma^2 = e\rangle = \{\tau^i, \tau^j\sigma \mid 0 \leq i, j \leq 2\}$. Let $A = D_6 \setminus \{e\}$. Then it is easy to see that all the representative elements of $\mathrm{Aut}(D_6)$-equivalent classes of subsets of A are as follows: $\emptyset$, $\{\tau\}$, $\{\sigma\}$, $\{\tau, \tau^2\}$, $\{\tau, \sigma\}$, $\{\sigma, \tau\sigma\}$, $\{\tau, \sigma, \tau\sigma\}$, $\{\tau, \tau^2, \sigma\}$, $\{\sigma, \tau\sigma, \tau^2\sigma\}$, $\{\tau, \sigma, \tau\sigma, \tau^2\sigma\}$, $\{\tau, \tau^2, \sigma, \tau\sigma\}$, $\{\tau, \tau^2, \sigma, \tau\sigma, \tau^2\sigma\}$. Thus there are exactly twelve Cayley digraphs on D_6 up to isomorphism in which eight are connected. By Theorems 3.1 and 3.2,

$$\mathcal{N} = \frac{1}{3}\cdot 2^3(1-2^2) + \sum_{d|2}\Phi(d)2^{\frac{4}{d}} = 12,$$

$$\mathcal{N}_1 = \mathcal{N} - \mathcal{N}_c - 1 = 12 - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{d|2}\Phi(d)2^{\frac{2}{d}} - 1 = 8,$$

as required. Also, we see that there are exactly three Cayley digraphs on D_6 with out-degree $k = 2$ up to isomorphism in which two are connected. By Theorems 3.3 and 3.4, we obtain

$$\mathcal{M}_2 = \frac{1}{3}\left[\binom{2}{2} - \binom{5}{2}\right] + \frac{1}{2}\left[\sum_{d|\gcd(2,2)} \Phi(d)\binom{\frac{4}{d}}{\frac{2}{d}} + \sum_{d|\gcd(2,1)} \Phi(d)\binom{\frac{4}{d}}{\frac{1}{d}}\right] = 3,$$

$$\mathcal{M}'_2 = \mathcal{M}_2 - \mathcal{M}_{c,2} = 3 - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{d|\gcd(2,2)} \Phi(d)\binom{\frac{2}{d}}{\frac{2}{d}} = 2.$$

Example 2. Combining Theorems 3.3 and 3.4, we have

$$\sum_{k=2}^{2p-1} \mathcal{M}'_k = \mathcal{N}_1. \tag{14}$$

In Tab. 1, we list the number of connected Cayley digraphs on D_{2p} with out-degree k up to isomorphism for each $2 \le k \le 2p-1$, where $3 \le p \le 19$. One can easily verify that (14) holds for each p.

Tab. 1: The number of connected Cayley digraphs on D_{2p} $(3 \le p \le 19)$.

p	$(\mathcal{M}'_2, \ldots, \mathcal{M}'_{2p-1})$	$\mathcal{N}_1$
3	$(2, 3, 2, 1)$	8
5	$(2, 5, 8, 9, 6, 4, 2, 1)$	37
7	$(2, 8, 20, 33, 46, 47, 34, 23, 12, 5, 2, 1)$	233
11	$(2, 12, 56, 188, 504, 1068, 1870, 2693, 3234, 3235, 2694, 1875,$ $1080, 526, 214, 78, 24, 7, 2, 1)$	19363
13	$(2, 15, 84, 342, 1150, 3100, 6972, 13147, 21028, 28639, 33428, 33429,$ $28640, 21034, 13166, 7015, 3166, 1230, 408, 127, 34, 8, 2, 1)$	216167
17	$(2, 19, 150, 865, 4074, 15699, 51110, 141867, 340538, 711789, 1304974, 2107735,$ $3011042, 3813797, 4290532, 4290533, 3813798, 3011050, 2107770, 1305090,$ $712062, 341042, 142582, 51920, 16414, 4578, 1138, 266, 54, 10, 2, 1)$	31592503
19	$(2, 22, 192, 1258, 6790, 30064, 112924, 363804, 1018876, 2500360, 5417644,$ $10417634, 17858736, 27382554, 37650982, 46509357, 51677170, 51677171,$ $46509358, 37650991, 27382600, 17858908, 10418110, 5418682, 2502128,$ $1021314, 366508, 115362, 31832, 7828, 1734, 364, 68, 11, 2, 1)$	401911341

4 Enumerating Cayley graphs on D_{2p}

Let $D_{2p} = \langle \tau, \sigma \mid \tau^p = \sigma^2 = e, \sigma\tau\sigma = \tau^{-1} \rangle = \{\tau^i, \tau^j\sigma \mid i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_p\}$ be the dihedral group of order $2p$ (p is an odd prime). Take $A = A_1 \cup A_2$, where

$$A_1 = \left\{\bar{\tau}^i = \{\tau^i, \tau^{-i}\} \mid 1 \le i \le \frac{p-1}{2}\right\} \text{ and } A_2 = \{\tau^j\sigma \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_p\}. \tag{15}$$

Then $\mathrm{Aut}(D_{2p})$ acts on A naturally by setting $\alpha_{s,t}(\bar{\tau}^i) = \bar{\tau}^{si}$ and $\alpha_{s,t}(\tau^j\sigma) = \tau^{sj+t}\sigma$ for each $\alpha_{s,t} \in \mathrm{Aut}(D_{2p})$. Furthermore, if $\alpha_{s,t} \in \mathrm{Aut}(D_{2p})$ fixes every element of A,

then $\alpha_{s,t}(\tau^j\sigma) = \tau^j\sigma$ for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Take $j = 0$, we have $\alpha_{s,t}(\tau^0\sigma) = \tau^t\sigma = \tau^0\sigma$, which implies that $t = 0$. Take some $j = j_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_p\backslash\{0\}$, then $\alpha_{s,t}(\tau^{j_0}\sigma) = \alpha_{s,0}(\tau^{j_0}\sigma) = \tau^{sj_0}\sigma = \tau^{j_0}\sigma$, which gives that $sj_0 = j_0$ in $\mathbb{Z}_p$, thus we must have $s = 1$ due to $j_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\} = \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ is invertible. Therefore, we obtain that $\alpha_{s,t} = \alpha_{1,0}$, which is the identity element of $\mathrm{Aut}(D_{2p})$. This implies that $\mathrm{Aut}(D_{2p})$ acts on A faithfully, and so can be viewed as a permutation group on A. Also observe that $\alpha_{s,t}(A_1) = A_1$ and $\alpha_{s,t}(A_2) = A_2$ for each $\alpha_{s,t} \in \mathrm{Aut}(D_{2p})$. Let $C = \{0, 1\}$. As explained at the begining of Section 3, we may conclude that the number of Cayley graphs on D_{2p} up to isomorphism is equal to the number of orbits of the group action $(\mathrm{Aut}(D_{2p}), C^A)$. Thus the primary task is to calculate the cycle index of $\mathrm{Aut}(D_{2p})$ acting on A.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $A = A_1 \cup A_2$ be defined as in (15), and let $\mathrm{Aut}(D_{2p})$ act on A as above. Let z be a generating element of the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$. Under the action of $\mathrm{Aut}(D_{2p})$ on A, the cycle type of $\alpha_{s,t} \in \mathrm{Aut}(D_{2p})$ is given by type$(\alpha_{s,t}) = (b_1(\alpha_{s,t}), b_2(\alpha_{s,t}), \ldots, b_{\frac{3p-1}{2}}(\alpha_{s,t}))$, where*

$$b_k(\alpha_{1,t}) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{3p-1}{2} & \text{if } k = 1 \text{ and } t = 0, \\ \dfrac{p-1}{2} & \text{if } k = 1 \text{ and } t \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\}, \\ 1 & \text{if } k = p \text{ and } t \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{16}$$

and for each $1 \neq s = z^{i_s} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ (i.e., $i_s \neq 0$) and $t \in \mathbb{Z}_p$,

$$b_k(\alpha_{s,t}) = b_k(\alpha_{s,0}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = 1, \\ \dfrac{\gcd(2i_s, p-1)}{2} & \text{if } k = \dfrac{p-1}{\gcd(2i_s, p-1)}, \\ \gcd(i_s, p-1) & \text{if } k = \dfrac{p-1}{\gcd(i_s, p-1)}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{17}$$

(Note that if $s = -1$, i.e., $i_s = \frac{p-1}{2}$, then $\frac{p-1}{\gcd(2i_s, p-1)} = 1$, and so $b_1(\alpha_{-1,t}) = b_1(\alpha_{-1,0}) = 1 + \frac{\gcd(2i_s, p-1)}{2} = \frac{p+1}{2}$ for each $t \in \mathbb{Z}_p$.)

Proof. Since $\alpha_{s,t}(A_1) = A_1$ and $\alpha_{s,t}(A_2) = A_2$ for each $\alpha_{s,t} \in \mathrm{Aut}(D_{2p})$, we must have $b_{p+1}(\alpha_{s,t}) = \cdots = b_{\frac{3p-1}{2}}(\alpha_{s,t}) = 0$. For $\alpha_{s,t} \in \mathrm{Aut}(D_{2p})$, we consider the following two situations.

Case 1. $s = 1$;

Note that $\alpha_{1,t}(\bar{\tau}^i) = \bar{\tau}^i$ for $1 \leq i \leq \frac{p-1}{2}$, so the permutation $\alpha_{1,t}$ splits A_1 into $\frac{p-1}{2}$ cycles each of length 1. Also note that $\alpha_{1,t}(\tau^j\sigma) = \tau^{j+t}\sigma$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. As in the proof of Lemma 3.1, we may conclude that the permutation $\alpha_{1,t}$ splits A_2 into p cycles each of length 1 when $t = 0$ and exactly one cycle of length p when $t \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\}$. Therefore, we have obtained the cycle type of $\alpha_{1,t}$, as shown in (16).

Case 2. $s \neq 1$, say $s = z^{i_s}$ $(i_s \neq 0)$;

Note that $\alpha_{s,t}(\bar{\tau}^i) = \bar{\tau}^{si}$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\}$ and $\alpha_{s,t}(\tau^j\sigma) = \tau^{sj+t}\sigma$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Firstly, we shall prove that $\alpha_{s,t}$ has the same cycle type as $\alpha_{s,0}$ for each $t \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. As

$\alpha_{s,t}(\bar{\tau}^i) = \bar{\tau}^{si} = \alpha_{s,0}(\bar{\tau}^i)$, $\alpha_{s,t}$ and $\alpha_{s,0}$ have the same cycle type in A_1. Now consider $\alpha_{s,t}$ and $\alpha_{s,0}$ acting on A_2. By the same method as in the proof of Lemma 3.2, one can deduce that $\alpha_{s,t}$ and $\alpha_{s,0}$ also have the same cycle type in A_2. Hence, we just need to consider the cycle type of $\alpha_{s,0}$ in $A = A_1 \cup A_2$. For any fixed $\bar{\tau}^i \in A_1$, assume that $\bar{o}(s)$ is the minimal positive integer such that $\alpha_{s,0}^{\bar{o}(s)}(\bar{\tau}^i) = \bar{\tau}^{s^{\bar{o}(s)} \cdot i} = \bar{\tau}^{z^{i_s \bar{o}(s)} \cdot i} = \bar{\tau}^i$. Then we have $\tau^{z^{i_s \bar{o}(s)} \cdot i} = \tau^i$ and $\tau^{-z^{i_s \bar{o}(s)} \cdot i} = \tau^{-i}$, or $\tau^{z^{i_s \bar{o}(s)} \cdot i} = \tau^{-i}$ and $\tau^{-z^{i_s \bar{o}(s)} \cdot i} = \tau^i$. For the former, we obtain that $z^{i_s \bar{o}(s)} = 1$ and so $i_s \bar{o}(s) \equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}$, which gives that $i_s \bar{o}(s) \equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{p-1}{2}}$; for the later, we get $z^{i_s \bar{o}(s)} = -1 = z^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$ and so $i_s \bar{o}(s) \equiv \frac{p-1}{2} \pmod{p-1}$, which also implies that $i_s \bar{o}(s) \equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{p-1}{2}}$. By the minimality of $\bar{o}(s)$, we claim that $\bar{o}(s) = \frac{\frac{p-1}{2}}{\gcd(i_s, \frac{p-1}{2})} = \frac{p-1}{\gcd(2i_s, p-1)}$. Therefore, $\bar{\tau}^i \in A_1$ is in the cycle $(\bar{\tau}^i, \alpha_{s,0}(\bar{\tau}^i), \alpha_{s,0}^2(\bar{\tau}^i), \ldots, \alpha_{s,0}^{\bar{o}(s)-1}(\bar{\tau}^i)) = (\bar{\tau}^i, \bar{\tau}^{si}, \bar{\tau}^{s^2 i}, \ldots, \bar{\tau}^{s^{\bar{o}(s)-1} i})$. Thus the permutation $\alpha_{s,0}$ splits A_1 into $\frac{\frac{p-1}{2}}{\bar{o}(s)} = \frac{\gcd(2i_s, p-1)}{2}$ cycles each of length $\bar{o}(s) = \frac{p-1}{\gcd(2i_s, p-1)}$. Also note that $\bar{o}(s) = 1$ if and only if $s = -1$ due to $s \neq 1$.

Since the order of s in $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ is equal to $o(s) = o(z^{i_s}) = \frac{p-1}{\gcd(i_s, p-1)}$, for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\}$, $\tau^j \sigma \in A_2$ is in the cycle $(\tau^j\sigma, \alpha_{s,0}(\tau^j\sigma), \alpha_{s,0}^2(\tau^j\sigma), \ldots, \alpha_{s,0}^{o(s)-1}(\tau^j\sigma)) = (\tau^j\sigma, \tau^{sj}\sigma, \tau^{s^2 j}\sigma, \ldots, \tau^{s^{o(s)-1} j}\sigma)$. Also note that $\alpha_{s,0}(\tau^0\sigma) = \tau^0\sigma$, so $\tau^0\sigma \in A_2$ is in the cycle $(\tau^0\sigma)$. Thus the permutation $\alpha_{s,0}$ splits A_2 into $\frac{p-1}{o(s)} = \gcd(i_s, p-1)$ cycles each of length $o(s) = \frac{p-1}{\gcd(i_s, p-1)}$ and one cycle of length 1. Then we have obtained the cycle type of $\alpha_{s,t}$, as shown in (17).

We complete the proof. □

Lemma 4.2. *Let $A = A_1 \cup A_2$ be defined as in (15). The cycle index of* $\mathrm{Aut}(D_{2p})$ *acting on A is given by*

$$\mathcal{I}(\mathrm{Aut}(D_{2p}), A) = \frac{1}{p} x_1^{\frac{p-1}{2}} (x_p - x_1^p) + \frac{1}{p-1} x_1 \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} x_{\frac{\gcd(2i,p-1)}{2}}^{\frac{\gcd(2i,p-1)}{2}} x_{\frac{p-1}{\gcd(i,p-1)}}^{\gcd(i,p-1)}. \tag{18}$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.1, the cycle index of $\mathrm{Aut}(D_{2p})$ acting on A is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{I}(\mathrm{Aut}(D_{2p}), A) \\
= \; & \frac{1}{|\mathrm{Aut}(D_{2p})|} \sum_{\alpha_{s,t} \in \mathrm{Aut}(D_{2p})} x_1^{b_1(\alpha_{s,t})} x_2^{b_2(\alpha_{s,t})} \cdots x_{\frac{3p-1}{2}}^{b_{\frac{3p-1}{2}}(\alpha_{s,t})} \\
= \; & \frac{1}{p(p-1)} \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_p} x_1^{b_1(\alpha_{s,t})} x_2^{b_2(\alpha_{s,t})} \cdots x_{\frac{3p-1}{2}}^{b_{\frac{3p-1}{2}}(\alpha_{s,t})} \\
= \; & \frac{1}{p(p-1)} \left[\sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_p} x_1^{b_1(\alpha_{1,t})} x_2^{b_2(\alpha_{1,t})} \cdots x_{\frac{3p-1}{2}}^{b_{\frac{3p-1}{2}}(\alpha_{1,t})} + \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \setminus \{1\}} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_p} x_1^{b_1(\alpha_{s,t})} x_2^{b_2(\alpha_{s,t})} \cdots x_{\frac{3p-1}{2}}^{b_{\frac{3p-1}{2}}(\alpha_{s,t})} \right] \\
= \; & \frac{1}{p(p-1)} \left[\sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_p} x_1^{b_1(\alpha_{1,t})} x_2^{b_2(\alpha_{1,t})} \cdots x_{\frac{3p-1}{2}}^{b_{\frac{3p-1}{2}}(\alpha_{1,t})} + p \cdot \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \setminus \{1\}} x_1^{b_1(\alpha_{s,0})} x_2^{b_2(\alpha_{s,0})} \cdots x_{\frac{3p-1}{2}}^{b_{\frac{3p-1}{2}}(\alpha_{s,0})} \right] \\
= \; & \frac{1}{p(p-1)} \left[x_1^{\frac{3p-1}{2}} + (p-1) x_1^{\frac{p-1}{2}} x_p + p x_1 \cdot \sum_{s = z^{i_s} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \setminus \{1\}} x_{\frac{\gcd(2i_s,p-1)}{2}}^{\frac{\gcd(2i_s,p-1)}{2}} x_{\frac{p-1}{\gcd(i_s,p-1)}}^{\gcd(i_s,p-1)} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{p(p-1)}\left[x_1^{\frac{3p-1}{2}} + (p-1)x_1^{\frac{p-1}{2}}x_p + px_1 \cdot \sum_{i_s=1}^{p-2} x^{\frac{\frac{\gcd(2i_s,p-1)}{2}}{\frac{p-1}{\gcd(2i_s,p-1)}}} x^{\frac{\gcd(i_s,p-1)}{\frac{p-1}{\gcd(i_s,p-1)}}}\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{p(p-1)}\left[x_1^{\frac{3p-1}{2}} + (p-1)x_1^{\frac{p-1}{2}}x_p + px_1 \cdot \sum_{i_s=0}^{p-2} x^{\frac{\frac{\gcd(2i_s,p-1)}{2}}{\frac{p-1}{\gcd(2i_s,p-1)}}} x^{\frac{\gcd(i_s,p-1)}{\frac{p-1}{\gcd(i_s,p-1)}}} - px_1^{\frac{3p-1}{2}}\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{p}x_1^{\frac{p-1}{2}}(x_p - x_1^p) + \frac{1}{p-1}x_1 \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} x^{\frac{\frac{\gcd(2i,p-1)}{2}}{\frac{p-1}{\gcd(2i,p-1)}}} x^{\frac{\gcd(i,p-1)}{\frac{p-1}{\gcd(i,p-1)}}}.$$

□

According to Lemmas 4.2 and 2.1, we obtain the number of Cayley graphs on D_{2p} up to isomorphism immediately.

Theorem 4.1. *Let p be an odd prime. The number of Cayley graphs on D_{2p} up to isomorphism is equal to*

$$\mathcal{N}' = \frac{1}{p}(2^{\frac{p+1}{2}} - 2^{\frac{3p-1}{2}}) + \frac{2}{p-1}\sum_{i=0}^{p-2} 2^{\frac{\gcd(2i,p-1)}{2}+\gcd(i,p-1)}. \tag{19}$$

In [19], Mishna also enumerated the circulant digraphs of order p up to isomorphism.

Lemma 4.3. *(See [19].) Let p be an odd prime. The number of circulant graphs of order p up to isomorphism is equal to*

$$\mathcal{N}_c' = \frac{2}{p-1}\sum_{d|\frac{p-1}{2}} \Phi(d)2^{\frac{p-1}{2d}}, \tag{20}$$

where $\Phi(\cdot)$ is the Euler's totient function.

As in Section 3, from Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 4.3 we also give the number of connected Cayley graphs on D_{2p} up to isomorphism.

Theorem 4.2. *Let p be an odd prime. The number of connected Cayley graphs on D_{2p} up to isomorphism is equal to*

$$\mathcal{N}_1' = \mathcal{N}' - \mathcal{N}_c' - 1, \tag{21}$$

where $\mathcal{N}'$ and $\mathcal{N}_c'$ are presented in (19) and (20), respictively.

Example 3. As in Example 1, we take $p = 3$ and consider the dihedral group D_6. Let $A = D_6 \setminus \{e\}$. Then it is easy to see that all the representative elements of $\mathrm{Aut}(D_6)$-equivalent classes of inverse-closed subsets of A are as follows: $\emptyset$, $\{\sigma\}$, $\{\tau, \tau^2\}$, $\{\sigma, \tau\sigma\}$, $\{\tau, \tau^2, \sigma\}$, $\{\sigma, \tau\sigma, \tau^2\sigma\}$, $\{\tau, \tau^2, \sigma, \tau\sigma\}$, $\{\tau, \tau^2, \sigma, \tau\sigma, \tau^2\sigma\}$. Thus there are exactly eight Cayley graphs on D_6 up to isomorphism in which five are connected. By Theorems 4.1 and 4.2, we have

$$\mathcal{N}' = \frac{1}{3}(2^{\frac{4}{2}} - 2^{\frac{8}{2}}) + \sum_{i=0}^{1} 2^{\frac{\gcd(2i,2)}{2}+\gcd(i,2)} = 8,$$

$$\mathcal{N}_1' = \mathcal{N}' - \mathcal{N}_c' - 1 = 8 - \sum_{d|1} \Phi(d)2^{\frac{2}{2d}} - 1 = 5.$$

At the end of this paper, we list the number of connected Cayley graphs on D_{2p} (p prime) up to isomorphism for $3 \leq p \leq 41$ by applying Theorem 4.2 (see Tab. 2).

Tab. 2: The number of connected Cayley graphs on D_{2p} ($3 \leq p \leq 41$).

p	$\mathcal{N}_1'$	p	$\mathcal{N}_1'$	p	$\mathcal{N}_1'$
3	5	13	4089	29	10851803161
5	16	17	131623	31	75736903695
7	51	19	814155	37	27052464217661
11	807	23	34333611	41	1406056810572383

References

[1] A. Ádám, Research problem 2–10, J. Combin. Theory 2 (1967) 309.

[2] B. Alspach, M. Mishna, Enumeration of Cayley graphs and digraphs, Discrete Math. 256 (2002) 527–539.

[3] L. Babai, Isomorphism problem for a class of point-symmetric structures, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. 29 (1977) 329–336.

[4] E. Dobson, Isomorphism problem for Cayley graph of $\mathbb{Z}_p^3$, Discrete Math. 147 (1995) 87–94.

[5] E. Dobson, Isomorphism problem for metacirculant graphs of order a product of distinct primes, Canad. J. Math. 50 (1998) 1176–1188.

[6] E. Dobson, On the Cayley isomorphism problem for Cayley objects of nilpotent groups of some orders, Electronic J. Combin. 21(3) (2014) #P3.8.

[7] E. Dobson, J. Morris, P. Spiga, Further restrictions on the structure of finite DCI-groups: an addendum, J. Algebra Combin. 42 (2015) 959–969.

[8] E. Dobson, P. Spiga, CI-groups with respect to ternary relational structures: new examples, Ars Math. Contemp. 6 (2013) 351–364.

[9] B. Elspas, J. Turner, Graphs with circulant adjacency matrices, J. Combin. Theory 9 (1970) 297–307.

[10] F. Harary, E.M. Palmer, Graphical Enumeration, Academic Press, New York, 1973.

[11] M. Hirasaka, M. Muzychuk, The elementary abelian group of odd order and rank 4 is a CI-group, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 94 (2001) 339–362.

[12] Q.X. Huang, A classification of circulant DCI (CI)-digraphs of 2-power order, Discrete Math. 265 (2003) 71–84.

[13] Q.X. Huang, A. Chang, Circulant digraphs determined by their spectra, Discrete Math. 240 (2001) 261–270.

[14] Q.X. Huang, J.X. Meng, A classification of DCI (CI)-subsets for cyclic group of odd prime power order, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 78 (2000) 24–34.

[15] I. Kovács, M. Muzychuk, The group $\mathbb{Z}_p^2 \times \mathbb{Z}_q$ is a CI-group, Commun. Algebra 37 (2009) 3500–3515.

[16] C.H. Li, On isomorphisms of finite Cayley graphs—a survey, Discrete Math. 256 (2002) 301–334.

[17] C.H. Li, Z.P. Lu, P.P. Pálfy, Further restrictions on the structure of finite CI-groups, J. Algebraic Combin. 26 (2007) 161–181.

[18] C.H. Li, H.S. Sim, On half-transitive metacirculant graphs of prime-power order, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 18 (2001) 45–47.

[19] M. Mishna, Cayley graph enumeration, M.Sc. Thesis, Simon Fraser University (2000).

[20] J. Morris, Isomorphisms of Cayley Graphs, Ph.D. Thesis, Simon Fraser University (1999).
[21] M. Muzychuk, Ádám's conjecture is true in the square-free case, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 72 (1995) 118–134.
[22] M. Muzychuk, On Ádám's conjecture for circulant graphs, Discrete Math. 167–168 (1997) 497–510.
[23] F. Rotmaler, Automorphism groups of dihedral groups, Ukrainian Math. J. 29(2) (1977) 162–167.
[24] G. Somlai, The Cayley Isomorphism property for groups of order $8p$, Ars Math. Contemp. 8 (2015) 433–444.
[25] R.J. Wang, Half-transitive graphs of order a product of two distinct primes, Commun. Algebra 22 (1994) 915–927.
[26] M.Y. Xu, Half-transitive graphs of prime-cube order, J. Algebraic Combin. 1 (1992) 275–282.